

References:

- Jarvis, S., D'Eath, R.B., Robson, S.K., Lawrence, A.B., 2006. The effect of confinement during lactation on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and behavior of primiparous sows. *Physiol. Behav.* 87, 345–352.
- van Vonderen, I.K., Kooistra, H.S., Rijnberk, A., 1998. Influence of veterinary care on the urinary corticoid:creatinine ratio in dogs. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.* 12, 431–435.

56

HUMAN-DIRECTED AGGRESSION IN SHELTER DOGS: HOW TO TEST FOR BETTER PREDICTION OF OUTCOMES

Barbara Klausz*, Anna Kis, Eszter Persa, Márta Gácsi
 Department of Ethology, Eötvös University, Hungary
 *Corresponding author: klauszbarbara@yahoo.com

In the last few decades many test series were developed and used to measure behavior in shelter dogs to identify individuals with aggressive tendencies who may not be suitable for rehoming. However, relatively few studies evaluated the effectiveness of these test procedures. According to a survey based on questionnaire data, 40.9% of the dogs that had passed a temperament test showed aggressive behavior in their new home within 1 year of the adoption. The aim of the present study was to explain this high error-percentage by finding the possible shortcomings of the procedure. According to our hypothesis, dogs' behavior is suppressed in many respects when kept in a shelter, thus tests carried out during the first few days might have less predictive value.

Human-directed aggression was investigated in 25 shelter dogs. We first tested the dogs 20–40 hours after intake and a second time 2 weeks later. The same test series was applied on the 2 occasions and we analyzed the difference between the behavior shown in the 2 tests. Based on our experiences from a previous study on pet dogs, the following subtests were used: friendly greeting, taking away a bone, threatening approach, and dominant approach. The dogs were tethered to 2 trees in a V-shape with two 3-meter long chains, so that they could not do semicircular movements. The test procedure was carried out by 2 women experimenters. We coded the level of aggression in all the 4 subtests.

We found significant differences in the dogs' aggressive behavior between the first and the second test. The number of dogs showing aggression in the taking away a bone test and also the level of aggression observed increased remarkably for the second test date. In contrast to results in pet dogs tested with their owners, the threatening or dominant approach did not evoke aggressive behaviors from shelter dogs.

We suggest that timing is a crucial factor of the testing procedure to gain more predictive results in tests trying to predict human-directed aggression in shelter dogs. Moreover, we propose that threatening approaches from humans in a shelter setting tend to mainly evoke avoidance behavior in shelter dogs (partly because of the owner's absence), whereas the same stimuli might facilitate (fear-related) aggression in pet dogs (in the presence of the owner).

Key words: shelter dog; aggression; behavioral test; timing

57

SOCIAL LEARNING IN SHELTER DOGS

Jennifer Templeton*, J. Thorn
 Department of Biology, Knox College, Galesburg, IL
 *Corresponding author: jtemplet@knox.edu

Socialization and training can make shelter dogs more adoptable. However, time constraints make it difficult for shelter staff to complete tasks beyond caring for the animals' physical well-being. To examine the feasibility of training in a shelter environment, we first carried out a series of experiments (Thorn et al., 2006), which showed that (1) dogs could be shaped to sit in as few as 10 trials; (2) a verbal secondary reinforcer ("Good Dog!") was more effective than a clicker when used by untrained personnel; and (3) dogs retained and generalized their new skill to new people and locations. We have now begun a series of experiments examining whether social learning enhances the speed of training in shelter dogs, and if so, how. Fourteen dogs were randomly assigned to No Observe (NO) and Observe (O) treatment groups (n = 7 each). Trials took place with dogs in individual kennels. NO dogs and O dogs were in adjacent kennels, separated by chain-link fencing. A NO trial consisted of a "stranger" walking up to a kennel and standing in front of it; no verbal commands or gestures were made. If the dog sat, the stranger said, "Good Dog!" and gave a small piece of hot dog, recorded time to sit, and left the room. A trial lasted a maximum of 60 seconds, and there was a 30-second intertrial interval. NO dogs received a total of 10 trials, while the O dog looked on. To ensure that O dogs had the opportunity to observe 10 successful, rewarded sits, additional NO dog trials continued until this number had been met. Only the first 10 NO trials were included in the data analysis. Two minutes after NO trials had been completed, a new stranger began O trials. These were identical to NO trials, but there were no extra trials.

A 2-way repeated analysis of variance (ANOVAR) found a significant decline in latency to sit over trials ($F = 4.75$, $df = 9, 108$, $P < 0.001$); a nonsignificant trial \times treatment effect indicated that latency declined at a similar rate for the 2 treatment groups. O dogs sat significantly earlier than NO dogs ($F = 3.43$, $df = 1, 12$, $P < 0.05$). The difference in performance between the 2 treatment groups was most noticeable in the first trial; a 2-sample t test (1-tailed) showed that O dogs sat significantly more quickly in their first trial than NO dogs ($t = -1.98$, $df = 12$, $P < 0.05$).

O dogs learned to sit in response to a discriminative stimulus (arrival of a stranger) faster than NO dogs. This preliminary finding suggests that training of shelter dogs may proceed even more rapidly than expected, thus saving shelter staff time and effort. Next, we plan to compare physiological responses of O and NO dogs during observation and training trials, as well as the potential for multiple dogs to learn from the same dog at the same time.

Key words: shelter dogs; training; social learning

Reference:

Thorn, J., Templeton, J., VanWinkle, K., Castillo, R., 2006. Conditioning shelter dogs to sit. *J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci.* 9, 25–39.

58

ARE COGNITIVE SKILLS GOOD INDICATORS OF WELFARE IN SHELTER DOGS?

Emanuela Viggiano^{a,*}, Sarah Marshall-Pescini^a, Irena Petak^b, Emanuela Prato-Previde^a, Eugenia Natoli^c, Paola Valsecchi^d

^aPsychology Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Milan, Italy

^bFaculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zagreb, Croatia

^cAzienda Usl Roma D, Veterinary Hospital, Rome, Italy

^dBiology Department, University of Parma, Italy

*Corresponding author: emanuela.viggiano@unimi.it

Recent studies have shown that dogs possess many sophisticated cognitive skills. Can these skills be used as welfare indicators in shelter dogs? The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of different contexts on dogs' cognitive skills and on the human–dog relationship (Prato-Previde et al., 2008).

The study was carried out in 2 shelters (in Rome and Parma) with differing management policies. In the Rome shelter, dogs live singly or in groups, are never taken out of their kennels, and they have minimal contact with humans. In the Parma shelter, dogs live in groups, are taken out daily, and have regular contact with humans. Pet dogs also were tested as a control group. Seventy-eight shelter dogs, 39 in Rome (19 housed singly, 20 in group housing), 39 in Parma, and 50 pet dogs were tested in a food choice task. The test consisted of 3 conditions: Condition 1 was a free-choice task of selection between a large and a small food quantity; in Condition 2, there was the same choice, but the researcher showed a preference for the small quantity choice; and in Condition 3, the choice was between 2 equally small quantities of food with the researcher showing a preference for one.

A comparison between the Parma and the Rome shelter dogs revealed that in Condition 2 (Mann-Whitney test: $z = 2.3$; $P = 0.018$) and in Condition 3 (Mann-Whitney test: $z = -2.627$; $P = 0.009$), the researcher influenced significantly more of the choices made by the dogs from the Parma shelter. Comparing the performance between the 3 situations (single vs group vs family housing), in Condition 1 dogs living in groups and dogs living in the enriched family environment chose significantly more often the larger quantity of food than dogs living in single housing (Mann-Whitney test, alone vs in group: $z = -2.67$; $P = 0.008$; alone vs enriched $z = -2.158$; $P = 0.03$). There was no significant difference between the performance of group-housed dogs vs pet dogs. Considering all dogs, the length of their permanence at the shelter had no influence on the dogs' performance in the task. Dogs tested in the

3 different housing conditions were also compared to pet dogs; dogs in the enriched environment were more influenced by the researcher in their choice and they followed the person's "suggestion" even if disadvantageous for them. Dogs housed alone showed impaired cognitive performance in the pure quantity discrimination task, whereas dogs with regular contact with conspecifics and/or humans did not differ from one another. Thus, overall, intra- and interspecific social deprivation seems to have a negative influence on dogs' cognitive skills. Furthermore, the quality of life in the shelter and not the duration of stay seems to influence dogs' cognitive skills.

Key words: dog; shelter; housing conditions; food choice task; human–dog relationship

Reference:

Prato-Previde, E., Marshall-Pescini, S., Valsecchi, P., 2008. Is your choice my choice? The owners' effect on pet dogs' (*Canis lupus familiaris*) performance in a food choice task. *Anim. Cogn.* 11, 167–174.

59

TELEMETRY AS A METHOD FOR MEASURING THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT HOUSING CONDITIONS ON SHELTER DOGS' WELFARE

E. Natoli^{a,*}, S. Cataldi^b, P. Valsecchi^c, E. Prato-Previde^d, C. Fantini^a

^aAzienda USL Roma D, Ospedale veterinario, Rome, Italy

^bUniversità degli Studi "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy

^cDipartimento di Biologia Evolutiva e Funzionale, Università degli Studi, Parma, Italy

^dIstituto di Psicologia, Università di Milano, Italy

*Corresponding author: enatoli@tiscali.it

This study investigated the effects of exposure to 4 different housing situations in adult male shelter dogs on (1) heart rate, body temperature and physical activity, both continuously recorded; and (2) cyclical (e.g., circadian rhythm) of the mentioned parameters. The aim of the study was to assess whether telemetry, usually used in pharmacological studies, could be an appropriate and useful tool to evaluate the welfare of sheltered dogs.

The radiotelemetry system employed in this study enabled the recording of heart rate, body temperature, and motor activity from freely moving dogs. It consisted of flat transmitters (TA10CTA-D70, Data Sciences Int., St. Paul, MN, USA) and platform receivers. Three adult male shelter dogs, singly housed, were implanted with the radiotelemeter. After implantation, dogs were allowed 2 weeks for recovery, and then were housed in sequence in each of the following situations: (1) alone in the cage; (2) alone in an enriched cage; (3) in a cage with an unknown female; and (4) alone in the cage with a daily 2-hour interaction with the observer. Each housing condition lasted 1 week. Two out of 3 dogs were continuously videorecorded.