In the last few decades many test series were developed and used to measure behavior in shelter dogs to identify individuals with aggressive tendencies who may not suitable for re-homing. However, relatively few studies evaluated the effectiveness of these test procedures. According to a survey based on questionnaire data, 40.9% of the dogs that had passed a temperament test showed aggressive behavior in their new home within 1 year of the adoption. The aim of the present study was to explain this high error-percentage by finding the possible shortcomings of the procedure. According to our hypothesis, dogs’ behavior is suppressed in many respects when kept in a shelter, thus tests carried out during the first few days might have less predictive value. Human-directed aggression was investigated in 25 shelter dogs. We first tested the dogs 20–40 hours after intake and a second time 2 weeks later. The same test series was applied on the 2 occasions and we analyzed the difference between the behavior shown in the 2 tests. Based on our experiences from a previous study on pet dogs, the following subtests were used: friendly greeting, taking away a bone, threatening approach, and dominant approach. The dogs were tethered to 2 trees in a V-shape with two 3-meter long chains, so that they could not do semicircular movements. The test procedure was carried out by 2 women experimenters. We coded the level of aggression in all the 4 subtests.

We found significant differences in the dogs’ aggressive behavior between the first and the second test. The number of dogs showing aggression in the taking away a bone test and also the level of aggression observed increased remarkably for the second test date. In contrast to results in pet dogs tested with their owners, the threatening or dominant approach did not evoke aggressive behaviors from shelter dogs.

We suggest that timing is a crucial factor of the testing procedure to gain more predictive results in tests trying to predict human-directed aggression in shelter dogs. Moreover, we propose that threatening approaches from humans in a shelter setting tend to mainly evoke avoidance behavior in shelter dogs (partly because of the owner’s absence), whereas the same stimuli might facilitate (fear-related) aggression in pet dogs (in the presence of the owner).
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Recent studies have shown that dogs possess many sophisticated cognitive skills. Can these skills be used as welfare indicators in shelter dogs? The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of different contexts on dogs’ cognitive skills and on the human–dog relationship (Prato-Previde et al., 2008).

The study was carried out in 2 shelters (in Rome and Parma) with differing management policies. In the Rome shelter, dogs live singly or in groups, are never taken out of their kennels, and they have minimal contact with humans. In the Parma shelter, dogs live in groups, are taken out daily, and have regular contact with humans. Pet dogs also were tested as a control group. Seventy-eight shelter dogs, 39 in Rome (19 housed singly, 20 in group housing), 39 in Parma, and 50 pet dogs were tested in a food choice task. The test consisted of 3 conditions: Condition 1 was a free-choice task of selection between a large and a small food quantity; in Condition 2, there was the same choice, but the researcher showed a preference for the small quantity choice; and in Condition 3, the choice was between 2 equally small quantities of food with the researcher showing a preference for one.

A comparison between the Parma and the Rome shelter dogs revealed that in Condition 2 (Mann-Whitney test: \( z = 2.3; P = 0.018 \)) and in Condition 3 (Mann-Whitney test: \( z = -2.627; P = 0.009 \)), the researcher influenced significantly more of the choices made by the dogs from the Parma shelter. Comparing the performance between the 3 situations (single vs group vs family housing), in Condition 1 dogs living in groups and dogs living in the enriched family environment chose significantly more often the larger quantity of food than dogs living in single housing (Mann-Whitney test, alone vs in group: \( z = -2.67; P = 0.008 \); alone vs enriched \( z = -2.158; P = 0.03 \)).

There was no significant difference between the performance of group-housed dogs vs pet dogs. Considering all dogs, the length of their permanence at the shelter had no influence on the dogs’ performance in the task. Dogs tested in the 3 different housing conditions were also compared to pet dogs; dogs in the enriched environment were more influenced by the researcher in their choice and they followed the person’s “suggestion” even if disadvantageous for them. Dogs housed alone showed impaired cognitive performance in the pure quantity discrimination task, whereas dogs with regular contact with conspecifics and/or humans did not differ from one another. Thus, overall, intra- and interspecific social deprivation seems to have a negative influence on dogs’ cognitive skills. Furthermore, the quality of life in the shelter and not the duration of stay seems to influence dogs’ cognitive skills.
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This study investigated the effects of exposure to 4 different housing situations in adult male shelter dogs on (1) heart rate, body temperature and physical activity, both continuously recorded; and (2) cyclical (e.g., circadian rhythm) of the mentioned parameters. The aim of the study was to assess whether telemetry, usually used in pharmacological studies, could be an appropriate and useful tool to evaluate the welfare of sheltered dogs.

The radiotelemetry system employed in this study enabled the recording of heart rate, body temperature, and motor activity from freely moving dogs. It consisted of flat transmitters (TA10C-TA-D70, Data Sciences Int., St. Paul, MN, USA) and platform receivers. Three adult male shelter dogs, singly housed, were implanted with the radiotelemetry system. After implantation, dogs were allowed 2 weeks for recovery, and then were housed in sequence in each of the following situations: (1) alone in the cage; (2) alone in an enriched cage; (3) in a cage with an unknown female; and (4) alone in the cage with a daily 2-hour interaction with the observer. Each housing condition lasted 1 week. Two out of 3 dogs were continuously video-recorded.